

**From:** Jeremy Leader  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/24/02 2:08am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

I'd like to add my voice to those pointing out the flaws in the proposed Final Judgement in United States v. Microsoft.

1. In the absence of effective means of enforcement, the proposed Final Judgement is meaningless. Microsoft has already been found to have violated the terms of other agreements; why should they respect this one?

2. If the proposed Final Judgement is modified to include some effective means of enforcements, there would still be numerous loopholes (such as those enumerated in Dan Kegel's Open Letter to the Department of Justice, <http://www.kegel.com/remedy/letter.html>). Several of these loopholes are large enough to allow Microsoft to completely avoid compliance with the intention of the proposed Final Judgement.

In short, the proposed Final Judgement is not in the public interest.

Jeremy Leader  
Arcadia, California